

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/665,715	09/19/2003		Anthony M. Manning	10624-142-999	6909
20583	7590	03/15/2006		EXAMINER	
JONES DA 222 EAST 4				SLOBODYANSK	Y, ELIZABETH
NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1652	

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/665,715	MANNING ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 June 2004. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 29-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 29-43 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/665,715 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

This applikation is a divisional of 09/832,161 now US Patent 6, 656,713.

The preliminary amendment filed June 14, 2004 canceling claims 1-28 and adding claims 29-43 has been entered.

Claims 29-43 are pending.

It is noted that for the purposes of this restriction, claim 38 was construed as dependent from claim 37.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 29-32, drawn to a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide of SEQ
 ID NO:16, an antisense, a vector and a cell comprising thereof, classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.
- II. Claim 33, drawn to a composition comprising polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:16, classified in class 435, subclass 183.
- III. Claims 34-36, drawn to an antibody against a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:16 and a pharmaceutical composition comprising thereof, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claims 37 and 38, drawn to methods of in vivo treatment using a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:16, classified in class 424, subclass 6.
- V. Claims 39-43, drawn to the screening methods using a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:16, classified in class 435, subclass 94.1.

Art Unit: 1652

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP \ni 806.04, MPEP \ni 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to divergent molecules having different functions and effects. The polynucleotides of invention I can be used in hybridization assays as well as in expression methods for producing the polypeptides of invention II. The polypeptide of invention II enhancesubiquitination of phosphorylated IkB and can be used for the production of an antibody of invention III, in the treatment of invention IV and in screening assays of invention V. The structure of an antibody of invention III is unpredictable from and is not determined by the structure of a polypeptide of invention III.

Inventions II and IV-V are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP \ni 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:16 can be used either in *in vivo* methods of invention IV or in *in vitro* methods of invention V as well as for the production of an antibody.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the literature

and sequence searches required for each of the Groups are not required for another of the Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the literature and sequence searches required for each of the Groups are not required for another of the Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable

Art Unit: 1652

product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the

examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,715 Page 6

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD whose telephone number is 571-272-0941. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 - 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, PhD can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD

E. Stobodyoneskel

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

March 9, 2006